

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,138	CRIST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Son M. Tang	2612	

All Participants:

(1) Son M. Tang.

(2) William C. Cahill (Attorney).

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2006

Time: 8:30AM.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1,4,7,9,18,20,27 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)